

**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS**

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

JEROME ALPHONSENY REYES  
JAMES,

Defendant and Appellant.

B234393

(Los Angeles County  
Super. Ct. No. KA093661)

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County. Steven D. Blades, Judge. Affirmed.

John D. O'Loughlin, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

---

A jury convicted defendant Jerome Alphonseny Reyes James of second degree burglary and forgery by attempted use of a counterfeit access card (Pen. Code, § 484f, subd. (a)). Defendant waived his right to a jury trial on allegations that he had served one prior prison term within the scope of Penal Code section 667.5, subdivision (b), and had one prior serious or violent felony conviction within the scope of the “Three Strikes” law. The court found these allegations true and sentenced defendant to a second strike term of seven years in prison.

Defendant’s convictions stemmed from his use of a counterfeit credit card to attempt to purchase three laptop computers at a Los Angeles County Fry’s Electronics store on February 24, 2011.

We appointed counsel to represent defendant on appeal. After examination of the record, counsel filed an opening brief raising no issues and asking this court to independently review the record. On January 5, 2012, we advised defendant he had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues he wished us to consider. To date, we have received no response.

We have examined the entire record and are satisfied that defendant’s attorney has fully complied with his responsibilities and that no arguable issues exist. (*People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 109–110; *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 441.)

### **DISPOSITION**

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

MALLANO, P. J.

We concur:

CHANEY, J.

JOHNSON, J.